

name of Nicholas J. Bach and administrator of his late wife knowing how duly executed this cause came on to be heard in the court, the answer of the other defendants replication chart and exhibits filed, and was argued by Counsel: On consideration whereof, the Court being of opinion that upon a just construction of the will of Peter Booth, the words "chattel estate" were used in a restrictive sense and embraced only that part of the estate which appears to have been delivered to Mrs. Holmes late Mrs. Barkham before this suit was instituted; that the principal with the accumulating interest on the money now put out at interest together with the revenue on the chattels lent to the daughter till the first of January 1845 and the remainder in one third of the slaves after the first of January 1845 and after Mrs. Barkham's death, is undispersed by the will and will go to the Testator next of kin, that is to say, one moiety to the descendants of his sister, the other moiety to the administrator of his brother as rights of his estate. And the Court being further of opinion that the property devised and bequeathed by the Testator Peter Booth to Peter and John Holmes if Peter J. Holmes shall die before the first of January 1845 will return and pass as undispersed of estate to the Testator's heirs and next of kin, that is to say, one moiety to the representatives of the sister, and the other moiety to his brother's representatives subject however to Mrs. Barkham's interest in the land and slaves till January the 1st 1845 as also to her one third of the slaves and the profits of one third of the land from the first day of January 1845 till her death; and the Court being also of opinion that if Peter J. Holmes shall live till the first day of January 1845 then he will hold the land in fee simple subject to one third of the profits during his life, and be entitled to one third of the slaves in absolute right; that third of the slaves given to John will go, in the opinion of the Court in absolute right after the first day of January 1845 to Peter J. Holmes and his mother Mrs. Barkham in equal portions, and the three thousand five hundred and dollars charged upon the land in his favour will go, one moiety to his mother and the other will sink into the land for Peter's benefit & so much doth adjudg'd, order and decree that Edwards Bull's administrator let his executors of Peter Booth deceased render before a Commissioner of this Court an account of his transactions on the estate of the said Peter Booth that the Commissioner also takes an account of the transactions of William and Henry Briggs as executors of said Peter Booth and of the administration of Henry Briggs by Francis M. Boylston unless he shall confess assets sufficient to pay any balance found to be due from Henry Briggs to the estate of Peter Booth. And the said Commissioner is directed to report the respective accounts with any remarks deemed pertinent by himself, or required by the parties or any of them to be stated -

Jacob A. Duck

against

Rff.

Cornelius Sheriff and administrator of Thomas Gray Jr.²
Thomas R. Gray, Edwin Gray, Alan Gray, Atherton Parsons
and the said Nathaniel Parsons as Executor of Seth R. Strong Jr.²
and Ellen D. Gray an infant by Littleton R. Edwards her guardian
ad litem.

Doffs

The defendant Edwin Gray who is out of this country and against whom
the plaintiff has proceeded in the mode prescribed by law against absent